

when there is a requirement to evaluate a policy or proposal in the early stages of development or when site-specific analysis or mitigation is not currently feasible and a more narrow or focused analysis is better done at a later date. The site specific review need only reference or summarize the issues addressed in the broader review. The broader review should identify and evaluate those issues ripe for decision and exclude those issues not relevant to the policy, program or project under consideration. The broader review should also establish the policy, standard or process to be followed in the site specific review. The Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) with respect to the broader assessment shall include a summary of the assessment and identify the significant issues to be considered in site specific reviews. Subsequent site-specific reviews will not require notices or a Request for Release of Funds unless the Certifying Officer determines that there are unanticipated impacts or impacts not adequately addressed in the prior review. A tiering approach can be used for meeting environmental review requirements in areas designated for special focus in local Consolidated Plans. Local and State Governments are encouraged to use the Consolidated Plan process to facilitate environmental reviews.

**§ 58.17 Historic preservation requirements for prior section 17 grants.**

A recipient of a section 17 grant shall comply with the historic preservation requirements of this part and existing grant agreements.

**§ 58.18 Responsibilities of States assuming HUD responsibilities.**

(a) States that elect to administer a HUD program shall ensure that the program complies with the provisions of this part. The State must:

(1) Designate the State agency or agencies which will be responsible for carrying out the requirements and administrative responsibilities set forth in subpart H of this part and which will:

(i) Develop a monitoring and enforcement program for post-review actions on environmental reviews and monitor

compliance with any environmental conditions included in the award.

(ii) Receive public notices, RROFs and certifications from recipients pursuant to §§ 58.70 and 58.71; accept objections from the public and from other agencies (§ 58.73); and perform other related responsibilities regarding releases of funds.

(2) Fulfill the State role in subpart H relative to the time period set for the receipt and disposition of comments, objections and appeals (if any) on particular projects.

(b) States administering section 17 Programs shall assume the responsibilities set forth in this section for overseeing the State recipient's performance and compliance with NEPA and related Federal authorities as set forth in this part, including receiving RROFs and environmental certifications for particular projects from State recipients and objections from government agencies and the public in accordance with the procedures contained in subpart H of this part. The State shall forward to the responsible HUD Field Office the environmental certification, the RROF and any objections received, and shall recommend whether to approve or disapprove the certification and RROF.

**Subpart C—General Policy:  
Environmental Review Procedures**

**§ 58.21 Time periods.**

All time periods in this part shall be counted in calendar days. The first day of a time period begins at 12:01 a.m. local time on the day following the publication or the mailing and posting date of the notice which initiates the time period.

**§ 58.22 Limitations on activities pending clearance.**

(a) A recipient may not commit HUD assistance funds under a program listed in § 58.1(b) on an activity or project until HUD or the State has approved the recipient's RROF and the related certification of the responsible entity. In addition, until the RROF and related certification has been approved, the recipient may not commit non-HUD funds on an activity or project under a program listed in § 58.1(b) if the